



LUND UNIVERSITY



CDF/D0 Monte Carlo Tutorial
Fermilab
December 7, 2004

The PYTHIA Event Generator

Torbjörn Sjöstrand

CERN and Lund University

Generator and Physics Overview


Subprocess Survey

Matrix Elements vs. Parton Showers

Underlying Event and Hadronization

Outlook

Event Generator Position




Event Physics Overview


Structure of the basic generation process:

(**Not** in physical time order, but \sim by order of consideration.)


1) Hard subprocess:
 $|\mathcal{M}|^2$, Breit-Wigners,
 parton densities.




2) Resonance decays:
 includes correlations.




3) Final-state parton showers.




4) Initial-state parton showers.



5) Multiple parton-parton interactions.




6) Beam remnants, with colour connections.




5) + 6) \approx Underlying Event


7) Hadronization



8) Ordinary decays: hadronic, τ , charm, ...



9) QCD interconnection effects:



a) colour rearrangement

(\Rightarrow rapidity gaps?);

b) Bose-Einstein.

10) The forgotten or unexpected: a chain is never stronger than its weakest link!


Many aspects still poorly understood,
but most good enough to work with

Generator Landscape

| | General-Purpose | Specialized |
|------------------|--|---------------------|
| Hard Processes | HERWIG PYTHIA ISAJET SHERPA | a lot |
| Resonance Decays | | HDECAY, ... |
| Parton Showers | | Ariadne/LDC, NLLjet |
| Underlying Event | | DPMJET |
| Hadronization | | none (?) |
| Ordinary Decays | | TAUOLA, EvtGen |

specialized often best at given task, but need General-Purpose core

PYTHIA history



Time axis
not to scale

PYTHIA standalone,
but other programs
rely on PYTHIA:

- LEPTO
- ARIADNE/LDC
- RAPGAP/CASCADE
- POMPYT
- HIJING
- SHERPA
- EVTGEN
- ...

All Fortran; PYTHIA in C++: not for the near future

The Smaller Picture: Subprocess Survey

| Kind | Process | PYT | HER | ISA |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| QCD & related | Soft QCD | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| | Hard QCD | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| | Heavy flavour | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| Electroweak SM | Single $\gamma^*/Z^0/W^\pm$ | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| | $(\gamma/\gamma^*/Z^0/W^\pm/f/g)^2$ | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| | Light SM Higgs | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| | Heavy SM Higgs | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| SUSY BSM | $h^0/H^0/A^0/H^\pm$ | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| | SUSY | ★ | ★ | ★ |
| | \bar{R} SUSY | ★ | ★ | — |
| Other BSM | Technicolor | ★ | — | (★) |
| | New gauge bosons | ★ | — | — |
| | Compositeness | ★ | — | — |
| | Leptoquarks | ★ | — | — |
| | $H^{\pm\pm}$ (from LR-sym.) | ★ | — | — |
| | Extra dimensions | (★) | (★) | (★) |

Subprocess Summary Table

| No. | Subprocess |
|---|---|
| Hard QCD processes: | |
| 11 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_i f_j$ |
| 12 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow f_k \bar{f}_k$ |
| 13 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow gg$ |
| 28 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_i g$ |
| 53 | $gg \rightarrow f_k \bar{f}_k$ |
| 68 | $gg \rightarrow gg$ |
| Soft QCD processes: | |
| 91 | elastic scattering |
| 92 | single diffraction (XB) |
| 93 | single diffraction (AX) |
| 94 | double diffraction |
| 95 | low- p_\perp production |
| Open heavy flavour: (also fourth generation) | |
| 81 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k$ |
| 82 | $gg \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k$ |
| 83 | $q_i f_j \rightarrow Q_k f_l$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|------------------------------|--|
| 84 | $g\gamma \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k$ |
| 85 | $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow F_k \bar{F}_k$ |
| Closed heavy flavour: | |
| 86 | $gg \rightarrow J/\psi g$ |
| 87 | $gg \rightarrow \chi_{0c} g$ |
| 88 | $gg \rightarrow \chi_{1c} g$ |
| 89 | $gg \rightarrow \chi_{2c} g$ |
| 104 | $gg \rightarrow \chi_{0c}$ |
| 105 | $gg \rightarrow \chi_{2c}$ |
| 106 | $gg \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$ |
| 107 | $g\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi g$ |
| 108 | $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$ |
| W/Z production: | |
| 1 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^0$ |
| 2 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W^\pm$ |
| 22 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Z^0 Z^0$ |
| 23 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow Z^0 W^\pm$ |
| 25 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ |
| 15 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow g Z^0$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 16 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow g W^\pm$ |
| 30 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_i Z^0$ |
| 31 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_k W^\pm$ |
| 19 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \gamma Z^0$ |
| 20 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \gamma W^\pm$ |
| 35 | $f_i \gamma \rightarrow f_i Z^0$ |
| 36 | $f_i \gamma \rightarrow f_k W^\pm$ |
| 69 | $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ |
| 70 | $\gamma W^\pm \rightarrow Z^0 W^\pm$ |
| Prompt photons: | |
| 14 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow g\gamma$ |
| 18 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ |
| 29 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_i \gamma$ |
| 114 | $gg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ |
| 115 | $gg \rightarrow g\gamma$ |
| Deeply Inel. Scatt.: | |
| 10 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_k f_l$ |
| 99 | $\gamma^* q \rightarrow q$ |

... part 2

| No. | Subprocess |
|------------------------|---|
| Photon-induced: | |
| 33 | $f_i \gamma \rightarrow f_i g$ |
| 34 | $f_i \gamma \rightarrow f_i \gamma$ |
| 54 | $g \gamma \rightarrow f_k \bar{f}_k$ |
| 58 | $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow f_k \bar{f}_k$ |
| 131 | $f_i \gamma_T^* \rightarrow f_i g$ |
| 132 | $f_i \gamma_L^* \rightarrow f_i g$ |
| 133 | $f_i \gamma_T^* \rightarrow f_i \gamma$ |
| 134 | $f_i \gamma_L^* \rightarrow f_i \gamma$ |
| 135 | $g \gamma_T^* \rightarrow f_i \bar{f}_i$ |
| 136 | $g \gamma_L^* \rightarrow f_i \bar{f}_i$ |
| 137 | $\gamma_T^* \gamma_T^* \rightarrow f_i \bar{f}_i$ |
| 138 | $\gamma_T^* \gamma_L^* \rightarrow f_i \bar{f}_i$ |
| 139 | $\gamma_L^* \gamma_T^* \rightarrow f_i \bar{f}_i$ |
| 140 | $\gamma_L^* \gamma_L^* \rightarrow f_i \bar{f}_i$ |
| 80 | $q_i \gamma \rightarrow q_k \pi^\pm$ |
| Light SM Higgs: | |
| 3 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow h^0$ |
| 24 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Z^0 h^0$ |
| 26 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W^\pm h^0$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|------------------------|---|
| 32 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_i h^0$ |
| 102 | $gg \rightarrow h^0$ |
| 103 | $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow h^0$ |
| 110 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \gamma h^0$ |
| 111 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow g h^0$ |
| 112 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_i h^0$ |
| 113 | $gg \rightarrow g h^0$ |
| 121 | $gg \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k h^0$ |
| 122 | $q_i \bar{q}_i \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k h^0$ |
| 123 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_i f_j h^0$ |
| 124 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_k f_l h^0$ |
| Heavy SM Higgs: | |
| 5 | $Z^0 Z^0 \rightarrow h^0$ |
| 8 | $W^+ W^- \rightarrow h^0$ |
| 71 | $Z_L^0 Z_L^0 \rightarrow Z_L^0 Z_L^0$ |
| 72 | $Z_L^0 Z_L^0 \rightarrow W_L^+ W_L^-$ |
| 73 | $Z_L^0 W_L^\pm \rightarrow Z_L^0 W_L^\pm$ |
| 76 | $W_L^+ W_L^- \rightarrow Z_L^0 Z_L^0$ |
| 77 | $W_L^\pm W_L^\pm \rightarrow W_L^\pm W_L^\pm$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|---------------------------|---|
| BSM Neutral Higgs: | |
| 151 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow H^0$ |
| 152 | $gg \rightarrow H^0$ |
| 153 | $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow H^0$ |
| 171 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Z^0 H^0$ |
| 172 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W^\pm H^0$ |
| 173 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_i f_j H^0$ |
| 174 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_k f_l H^0$ |
| 181 | $gg \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k H^0$ |
| 182 | $q_i \bar{q}_i \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k H^0$ |
| 183 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow g H^0$ |
| 184 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_i H^0$ |
| 185 | $gg \rightarrow g H^0$ |
| 156 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow A^0$ |
| 157 | $gg \rightarrow A^0$ |
| 158 | $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow A^0$ |
| 176 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Z^0 A^0$ |
| 177 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W^\pm A^0$ |
| 178 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_i f_j A^0$ |
| 179 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_k f_l A^0$ |

... part 3

| No. | Subprocess |
|--------------------------|---|
| 186 | $gg \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k A^0$ |
| 187 | $q_i \bar{q}_i \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k A^0$ |
| 188 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow g A^0$ |
| 189 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_i A^0$ |
| 190 | $gg \rightarrow g A^0$ |
| Charged Higgs: | |
| 143 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow H^+$ |
| 161 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_k H^+$ |
| Higgs pairs: | |
| 297 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow H^\pm h^0$ |
| 298 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow H^\pm H^0$ |
| 299 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow A^0 h^0$ |
| 300 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow A^0 H^0$ |
| 301 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow H^+ H^-$ |
| New gauge bosons: | |
| 141 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \gamma/Z^0/Z'^0$ |
| 142 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W'^+$ |
| 144 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow R$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|---------------------|---|
| Technicolor: | |
| 149 | $gg \rightarrow \eta_{tc}$ |
| 191 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \rho_{tc}^0$ |
| 192 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \rho_{tc}^+$ |
| 193 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \omega_{tc}^0$ |
| 194 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow f_k \bar{f}_k$ |
| 195 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow f_k \bar{f}_l$ |
| 361 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow W_L^+ W_L^-$ |
| 362 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow W_L^\pm \pi_{tc}^\mp$ |
| 363 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \pi_{tc}^+ \pi_{tc}^-$ |
| 364 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \gamma \pi_{tc}^0$ |
| 365 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \gamma \pi_{tc}'^0$ |
| 366 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Z^0 \pi_{tc}^0$ |
| 367 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Z^0 \pi_{tc}'^0$ |
| 368 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow W^\pm \pi_{tc}^\mp$ |
| 370 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W_L^\pm Z_L^0$ |
| 371 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W_L^\pm \pi_{tc}^0$ |
| 372 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \pi_{tc}^\pm Z_L^0$ |
| 373 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \pi_{tc}^\pm \pi_{tc}^0$ |
| 374 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \gamma \pi_{tc}^\pm$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|-----------------------|--|
| 375 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow Z^0 \pi_{tc}^\pm$ |
| 376 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W^\pm \pi_{tc}^0$ |
| 377 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W^\pm \pi_{tc}'^0$ |
| 381 | $q_i q_j \rightarrow q_i q_j$ |
| 382 | $q_i \bar{q}_i \rightarrow q_k \bar{q}_k$ |
| 383 | $q_i \bar{q}_i \rightarrow gg$ |
| 384 | $f_i g \rightarrow f_i g$ |
| 385 | $gg \rightarrow q_k \bar{q}_k$ |
| 386 | $gg \rightarrow gg$ |
| 387 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k$ |
| 388 | $gg \rightarrow Q_k \bar{Q}_k$ |
| Compositeness: | |
| 146 | $e\gamma \rightarrow e^*$ |
| 147 | $dg \rightarrow d^*$ |
| 148 | $ug \rightarrow u^*$ |
| 167 | $q_i q_j \rightarrow d^* q_k$ |
| 168 | $q_i q_j \rightarrow u^* q_k$ |
| 169 | $q_i \bar{q}_i \rightarrow e^\pm e^{*\mp}$ |
| 165 | $f_i \bar{f}_i (\rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^0) \rightarrow f_k \bar{f}_k$ |
| 166 | $f_i \bar{f}_j (\rightarrow W^\pm) \rightarrow f_k \bar{f}_l$ |

... part 4

| No. | Subprocess |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Leptoquarks: | |
| 145 | $q_i l_j \rightarrow L_Q$ |
| 162 | $qg \rightarrow \ell L_Q$ |
| 163 | $gg \rightarrow L_Q \bar{L}_Q$ |
| 164 | $q_i \bar{q}_i \rightarrow L_Q \bar{L}_Q$ |
| Left-right symmetry: | |
| 341 | $l_i l_j \rightarrow H_L^{\pm\pm}$ |
| 342 | $l_i l_j \rightarrow H_R^{\pm\pm}$ |
| 343 | $\ell_i^\pm \gamma \rightarrow H_L^{\pm\pm} e^\mp$ |
| 344 | $\ell_i^\pm \gamma \rightarrow H_R^{\pm\pm} e^\mp$ |
| 345 | $\ell_i^\pm \gamma \rightarrow H_L^{\pm\pm} \mu^\mp$ |
| 346 | $\ell_i^\pm \gamma \rightarrow H_R^{\pm\pm} \mu^\mp$ |
| 347 | $\ell_i^\pm \gamma \rightarrow H_L^{\pm\pm} \tau^\mp$ |
| 348 | $\ell_i^\pm \gamma \rightarrow H_R^{\pm\pm} \tau^\mp$ |
| 349 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow H_L^{++} H_L^{--}$ |
| 350 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow H_R^{++} H_R^{--}$ |
| 351 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_k f_l H_L^{\pm\pm}$ |
| 352 | $f_i f_j \rightarrow f_k f_l H_R^{\pm\pm}$ |
| 353 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow Z_R^0$ |
| 354 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow W_R^\pm$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|--------------------------|---|
| Extra Dimensions: | |
| 391 | $f \bar{f} \rightarrow G^*$ |
| 392 | $gg \rightarrow G^*$ |
| 393 | $q \bar{q} \rightarrow g G^*$ |
| 394 | $qg \rightarrow q G^*$ |
| 395 | $gg \rightarrow g G^*$ |
| SUSY: | |
| 201 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{e}_L \tilde{e}_L^*$ |
| 202 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_R^*$ |
| 203 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{e}_L \tilde{e}_R^* +$ |
| 204 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_L \tilde{\mu}_L^*$ |
| 205 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_R \tilde{\mu}_R^*$ |
| 206 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_L \tilde{\mu}_R^* +$ |
| 207 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1^*$ |
| 208 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_2 \tilde{\tau}_2^*$ |
| 209 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_2^* +$ |
| 210 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_L \tilde{\nu}_\ell^* +$ |
| 211 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\nu}_\tau^* +$ |
| 212 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_2 \tilde{\nu}_\tau^* +$ |
| 213 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_\ell \tilde{\nu}_\ell^*$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|-----|---|
| 214 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_\tau \tilde{\nu}_\tau^*$ |
| 216 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1 \tilde{\chi}_1$ |
| 217 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2 \tilde{\chi}_2$ |
| 218 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_3 \tilde{\chi}_3$ |
| 219 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_4 \tilde{\chi}_4$ |
| 220 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1 \tilde{\chi}_2$ |
| 221 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1 \tilde{\chi}_3$ |
| 222 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1 \tilde{\chi}_4$ |
| 223 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2 \tilde{\chi}_3$ |
| 224 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2 \tilde{\chi}_4$ |
| 225 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_3 \tilde{\chi}_4$ |
| 226 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^\mp$ |
| 227 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^\mp$ |
| 228 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^\mp$ |
| 229 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1 \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ |
| 230 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2 \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ |
| 231 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_3 \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ |
| 232 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_4 \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ |
| 233 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1 \tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$ |
| 234 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2 \tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$ |

... part 5

| No. | Subprocess |
|-----|---|
| 235 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_3 \tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$ |
| 236 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_4 \tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$ |
| 237 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{\chi}_1$ |
| 238 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{\chi}_2$ |
| 239 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{\chi}_3$ |
| 240 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{\chi}_4$ |
| 241 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ |
| 242 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$ |
| 243 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{g}$ |
| 244 | $gg \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{g}$ |
| 246 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{\chi}_1$ |
| 247 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iR} \tilde{\chi}_1$ |
| 248 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{\chi}_2$ |
| 249 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iR} \tilde{\chi}_2$ |
| 250 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{\chi}_3$ |
| 251 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iR} \tilde{\chi}_3$ |
| 252 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{\chi}_4$ |
| 253 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iR} \tilde{\chi}_4$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|-----|---|
| 254 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{jL} \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ |
| 256 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{jL} \tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$ |
| 258 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{g}$ |
| 259 | $f_i g \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iR} \tilde{g}$ |
| 261 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1^*$ |
| 262 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{t}_2 \tilde{t}_2^*$ |
| 263 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_2^* +$ |
| 264 | $gg \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1^*$ |
| 265 | $gg \rightarrow \tilde{t}_2 \tilde{t}_2^*$ |
| 271 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{q}_{jL}$ |
| 272 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iR} \tilde{q}_{jR}$ |
| 273 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{q}_{jR} +$ |
| 274 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{q}_{jL}^*$ |
| 275 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iR} \tilde{q}_{jR}^*$ |
| 276 | $f_i \bar{f}_j \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{q}_{jR}^* +$ |
| 277 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{jL} \tilde{q}_{jL}^*$ |
| 278 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{jR} \tilde{q}_{jR}^*$ |
| 279 | $gg \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iL} \tilde{q}_{iL}^*$ |

| No. | Subprocess |
|-----|--|
| 280 | $gg \rightarrow \tilde{q}_{iR} \tilde{q}_{iR}^*$ |
| 281 | $bq_i \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{q}_{iL}$ |
| 282 | $bq_i \rightarrow \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{q}_{iR}$ |
| 283 | $bq_i \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{q}_{iR} + \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{q}_{iL}$ |
| 284 | $b\bar{q}_i \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{q}_{iL}^*$ |
| 285 | $b\bar{q}_i \rightarrow \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{q}_{iR}^*$ |
| 286 | $b\bar{q}_i \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{q}_{iR}^* + \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{q}_{iL}^*$ |
| 287 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1^*$ |
| 288 | $f_i \bar{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{b}_2^*$ |
| 289 | $gg \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1^*$ |
| 290 | $gg \rightarrow \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{b}_2^*$ |
| 291 | $bb \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1$ |
| 292 | $bb \rightarrow \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{b}_2$ |
| 293 | $bb \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_2$ |
| 294 | $bg \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{g}$ |
| 295 | $bg \rightarrow \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{g}$ |
| 296 | $b\bar{b} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_2^* +$ |

A Giant on Clay Feet

Subprocess list *looks* impressive, and has involved a lot of hard work, but:

★ Processes usually only in lowest nontrivial order

⇒ need programs that include HO loop corrections to cross sections, alternatively do (p_{\perp}, y) -dependent rescaling by hand?

★ No multijet topologies

⇒ have to trust shower to get it right, alternatively match to HO (non-loop) ME generators

★ Spin correlations often absent or incomplete

e.g. top produced unpolarized, while $t \rightarrow bW^+ \rightarrow b\ell^+\nu_{\ell}$ decay correct

⇒ have to use external programs when important

★ New physics scenarios appear at rapid pace

⇒ need to have a bigger class of “one-issue experts” contributing code

⇒⇒ **The Les Houches Accord**

(Q: So why was the PYTHIA process library ever built? A: Automatic code generation has only reached maturity in the last few years!)



Matrix Elements vs. Parton Showers

ME : Matrix Elements

- + systematic expansion in α_S ('exact')
- + powerful for multiparton Born level
- + flexible phase space cuts
- loop calculations very tough
- negative cross section in collinear regions
 \Rightarrow unpredictable jet/event structure
- *no easy match to hadronization*

PS : Parton Showers

- approximate, to LL (or NLL)
- main topology not predetermined
 \Rightarrow inefficient for exclusive states
- + process-generic \Rightarrow simple multiparton
- + Sudakov form factors/resummation
 \Rightarrow sensible jet/event structure
- + *easy to match to hadronization*



Parton Shower Approach

(already well covered by B.R. Webber, so brief here)

3 common algorithms, each with its advantages and disadvantages:

HERWIG: θ -ordered emissions (ISR & FSR)

ARIADNE: p_{\perp} -ordered emissions (FSR primarily)

PYTHIA: M^2, Q^2 -ordered emissions (ISR & FSR)

New in PYTHIA 6.3: p_{\perp} -ordered emissions (ISR & FSR)

Matrix Elements and Parton Showers

Marriage desirable! But how?

Much work ongoing \implies no established orthodoxy

Three main areas, in ascending order of complication:

- 1) Match to lowest-order nontrivial process — merging
- 2) Combine leading-order multiparton process — vetoed parton showers
- 3) Match to next-to-leading order process — MC@NLO



PYTHIA performs merging with generic FSR $a \rightarrow bcg$ ME,

in SM: $\gamma^*/Z^0/W^\pm \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, $t \rightarrow bW^+$, $H^0 \rightarrow q\bar{q}$,

and MSSM: $t \rightarrow bH^+$, $Z^0 \rightarrow \tilde{q}\bar{\tilde{q}}$, $\tilde{q} \rightarrow \tilde{q}'W^+$, $H^0 \rightarrow \tilde{q}\bar{\tilde{q}}$, $\tilde{q} \rightarrow \tilde{q}'H^+$,
 $\chi \rightarrow q\bar{\tilde{q}}$, $\chi \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\chi$, $t \rightarrow \tilde{t}\chi$, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow q\bar{\tilde{q}}$, $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{g}$, $t \rightarrow \tilde{t}\tilde{g}$

g emission for different
 colour, spin and parity:


$R_3^{bl}(y_c)$: mass effects
 in Higgs decay:



PYTHIA ISR: only $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^0/W^\pm$ and $gg \rightarrow H^0$ (for $m_t \rightarrow \infty$)
 (but K factor not implemented here)

Multiple Interactions

Consequence of composite nature of hadrons!




Evidence:

- direct observation: AFS, UA1, CDF
- implied by width of multiplicity distribution + jet universality: UA5
- forward-backward correlations: UA5
- pedestal effect: UA1, H1, CDF


One new free parameter: $p_{\perp \min}$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\text{jet}} = \int_{p_{\perp \min}^2}^{s/4} \frac{d\sigma}{dp_{\perp}^2} dp_{\perp}^2 \iff \int_0^{s/4} \frac{d\sigma}{dp_{\perp}^2} \frac{p_{\perp}^4}{(p_{\perp 0}^2 + p_{\perp}^2)^2} dp_{\perp}^2$$



resolved

$$\lambda \sim 1/p_{\perp}$$




screened

Measure of
colour screening length d
in hadron:

$$p_{\perp \min} \langle d \rangle \approx 1 (= \hbar)$$

Hadronization: Lund String Model

In QCD, for large charge separation, field lines seem to be compressed to tubelike region(s) \Rightarrow **string(s)**



by self-interactions among soft gluons in the “vacuum”.

(Analogy: vortex lines in type II superconductor)

Gives linear confinement with string tension:



$$F(r) \approx \text{const} = \kappa \approx 1 \text{ GeV/fm} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad V(r) \approx \kappa r$$

Confirmed e.g. by quenched lattice QCD

Real world (??, or at least unquenched lattice QCD)

\implies nonperturbative string breakings $gg \dots \rightarrow q\bar{q}$


simplified colour
representation:



Repeat for large system \Rightarrow *Lund model*
which neglects Coulomb part:


$$\left| \frac{dE}{dx} \right| = \left| \frac{dp}{dx} \right| = \left| \frac{dE}{dt} \right| = \left| \frac{dp}{dt} \right| = \kappa$$

Motion of quarks and antiquarks in a $q\bar{q}$ system:



gives simple but powerful picture of hadron production
(with extensions to massive quarks, baryons, ...)

The Lund gluon picture — the most characteristic feature:



- Few parameters to describe energy–momentum structure!
 - Many parameters to describe flavour composition!

HERWIG cluster fragmentation: the opposite

Numerous and detailed tests at LEP favour string picture
...but much uncertain when moving to hadron colliders.

Summary (so far)

- ★ Big selection of subprocesses ★
but often not enough, so
- ★ Standard interface for including external processes ★
 - ★ State-of-the-art parton showers ★
... but much to be done on ME + PS matching
- ★ *The* trend-setting model for underlying events ★
- ★ *The* most realistic and successful model for hadronization ★
- ★ Extensive documentation: 450 pp manual + update notes ★
- ★ Webpage <http://www.thep.lu.se/~torbjorn/Pythia.html> ★

Nobody ever got fired for using PYTHIA! (?)

but also

Nobody is perfect!

So, whichever is your favourite generator,
you always need cross-checks!

Final Words of Warning

[. . .] The Monte Carlo simulation has become the major means of visualization of not only detector performance but also of physics phenomena. So far so good. But it often happens that the physics simulations provided by the Monte Carlo generators carry the authority of data itself. They look like data and feel like data, and if one is not careful they are accepted as if they were data.

[. . .] I am prepared to believe that the computer-literate generation (of which I am a little too old to be a member) is in principle no less competent and in fact benefits relative to us in the older generation by having these marvelous tools. They do allow one to look at, indeed visualize, the problems in new ways. But I also fear a kind of “**terminal illness**”, perhaps **traceable to the influence of television** at an early age. There the way one learns is simply **to passively stare into a screen and wait for the truth to be delivered**. A number of physicists nowadays seem to do just this.

J.D. Bjorken

from a talk given at the 75th anniversary celebration of the Max-Planck Institute of Physics, Munich, Germany, December 10th, 1992. As quoted in: Beam Line, Winter 1992, Vol. 22, No. 4