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Abstract

High statistics data for the \( K^\pm \to \mu \nu \gamma \) decay can allow the precision determination of the kaon structure dependent form factors. This study is possible at the proposed FNAL CKM experiment. CKM (Charged Kaons at the Main injector) is a decay-in-flight spectrometer with two Ring Imaging detectors (RICH), and designed to run at the main injector with a high kaon flux. The radiative decay data might be taken complementary to the primary CKM effort to study the rare kaon decay \( K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu} \) for which CKM was primarily designed. We summarize here the underlying physics of \( K^\pm \to \mu^\pm \nu \gamma \), the experimental status, and how the CKM spectrometer may be adapted for this study.
I) Introduction

Data on the radiative decay $K^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm \nu \gamma$ can give important information on the properties of the hadronic weak currents of the $K^\pm$ meson. Chiral perturbation theory can be tested in such a process, since it is characterized by an effective chiral Lagrangian calculable in a low energy perturbative expansion. The amplitudes to $O(p^4)$ order for radiative semileptonic $K$ decays can be determined from $K^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm \nu \gamma$ decay data.

The principal term of the decay is the Internal-Bremsstrahlung (IB) of charged particles, which is completely described by QED. The second term is the direct emission of the $\gamma$ from the intermediate kaonic states; this term is structure dependent (SD). The direct emission of the right-handed and left-handed photon are mediated respectively by vector hadronic currents dependent on the vector form factor ($F_V$), and axial-vector hadronic currents dependent on the axial vector form factor ($F_A$). The cross-section of the structure dependent terms was first derived by Neville [1] as a function of these form factors. Since then, many calculations have given predictions on the values of the form factors. The values obtained are $|F_V|, M_K=0.06$ to 0.8 and $F_V/F_A=-0.97$ to 0.58 [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

Chiral Perturbation theory predicts to order $O(p^4)$ that the form factor ratio is given in terms of the combination of chiral coefficients $(L_6^F + L_1^{F0})$, with $F_V/F_A=32\pi^2(L_6^F + L_1^{F0})$. Also, the kaon electric polarizability to order $O(p^4)$ is expressed as $\alpha_K = (4\alpha_f/m_K F_K^2) (L_6^F + L_1^{F0})$, where $\alpha_f$ is the fine structure constant and $F_K$ is the $K$ decay constant. Therefore the polarizability is proportional to the ratio $F_V/F_A$, which may be measured in Kaon radiative decay experiments [15, 16].

The experimental measurements are generally given in terms of $|F_V - F_A| M_K$ for SD$^-$ and the interference term (INT$^-$), and $|F_V + F_A| M_K$ for SD$^+$ and the interference term INT$^+$. These terms populate differently the Dalitz plot representing this three-body decay. The Dalitz plot population is very sensitive to the phase and size of the Structure Dependent terms (SD$^\pm$), (INT$^\pm$), as shown in Figure 1 Fig. 1.

It would have been sufficient to measure the interference term in the $K^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm \nu \gamma$ decay, but too few events are available for this decay. From a measurement of SD$^\pm$ alone, one only gets an ambiguous evaluation of $F_V$ and $F_A$. In CKM, we plan to measure both the SD$^-$ term and the INT$^-$ term.
Figure 1: The Dalitz plot for each of the terms contributing to the $K^\pm \rightarrow \mu\nu\gamma$ decay

We will describe the physics motivation in section II, the status of measurements in section III, FNAL E761 measurement attempts in section IV, the CKM possibilities in section V, and our conclusions in section VI.

II) Physics Motivation

There are three types of contribution to this kaon radiative decay. They are the Internal-Bremsstrahlung (IB), the structure dependent terms (SD) where the photon is emitted from intermediate Kaon hadronic states, and the interference terms between them (INT). The Feynman diagrams of the IB terms and the triangular diagrams of SD terms are represented in Figure 2 Fig. 2.
Figure 2: Feynman diagrams of the IB terms and the triangular diagrams of SD terms.

In the SD terms, the radiative decay $K^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm \nu \gamma$ depends on the properties of the hadronic weak currents. There are no hadrons involved in the final state. Therefore, the kinematics of the three almost massless point-like particles emitted by the $K^\pm$ probes the internal structure of the kaon without final state interactions.

The amplitudes SD can be written in terms of $F_V$ and $F_A$. There are two components, $SD^+$ proportional to $|F_V + F_A|^2$, and $SD^-$ proportional to $|F_V - F_A|^2$. The $SD^+$ and $SD^-$ terms correspond to the emission of photons with positive and negative helicities, respectively. The interference term is composed of two terms, INT$^+$ and INT$^-$ which correspond to the interference of IB with SD$^+$ and SD$^-$ respectively [17, 18]. The interference terms allow a determination of the sign of the form factors, and thereby the sign of the amplitudes. The Dalitz plot expresses decay probability as a function of $x$.
(related to the $\gamma$ energy) and $y$ (related to the muon energy). Figure 1 Fig. 1 shows that the different terms populate different regions of the Dalitz plot.

The decay rate is then given as:

$$d^2\Gamma/dxdy = \Gamma(IB) + \Gamma(SD^+) + \Gamma(SD^-) + \Gamma(INT^+) + \Gamma(INT^-).$$

We have:

$$\Gamma(IB) = A_{IB} \cdot f_{IB}(x, y)$$

$$\Gamma(SD^+) = A_{SD} \cdot f_{SD}^+(x, y) \cdot ((F_V + F_A) \cdot M)^2$$

$$\Gamma(SD^-) = A_{SD} \cdot f_{SD}^-(x, y) \cdot ((F_V - F_A) \cdot M)^2$$

$$\Gamma(INT^+) = A_{INT} \cdot f_{INT}^+(x, y) \cdot ((F_V + F_A) \cdot M)$$

$$\Gamma(INT^-) = A_{INT} \cdot f_{INT}^-(x, y) \cdot ((F_V - F_A) \cdot M).$$

Here, $A_{IB} = W_{K\mu}^2 \cdot \frac{g_L}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$

$A_{SD} = A_{IB} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{M_K^2}{M_K^2}$

$A_{INT} = A_{IB} \cdot \frac{M_K^2}{M_K}$

$W_{K\mu}^2 = 0.6351$ is the probability for the decay $K^- \rightarrow \mu^- \nu$,

$$x = \frac{2E_{CM}}{M_K}, \quad y = \frac{2E_{CM}}{M_K}, \quad r = \frac{M_K}{M_K^2}$$

$$f_{IB}(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2^2 \cdot x + y - 1 - r}\right) \cdot \left(x^2 + 2 \cdot (1 - x) \cdot (1 - r) - \frac{2 \cdot x \cdot r \cdot (1 - r)}{x + y - 1 - r}\right)$$

$$f_{SD}^+(x, y) = [x + y - 1 - r] \cdot [(x + y - 1) \cdot (1 - x) - r]$$

$$f_{SD}^-(x, y) = [1 - y + r] \cdot [(1 - x)(1 - y) + r]$$

$$f_{INT}^+(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{x \cdot (x + y - 1 - r)}\right) \cdot [(1 - x) \cdot (1 - x - y) + r]$$

$$f_{INT}^-(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{x \cdot (x + y - 1 - r)}\right) \cdot [x^2 - (1 - x) \cdot (1 - x - y) - r].$$
III) Experimental Status

Previous experiments with measurements of $F_A$ and $F_V$ are reported in Table 1 together with the predictions from Chiral Perturbation theory [12, 14]. The theory value quoted for $|F_V + F_A|M_K$ is obtained using the $K^- \rightarrow e\nu\gamma$ decay data.

| Predictions from Chiral Theory | $|F_V + F_A|M_K=0.134$ | $|F_V - F_A|M_K=0.049$ |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| CERN PS Experiment            | $|F_V + F_A|\mu M_K=0.153 \pm 0.01$ | $-2.5 < |F_V - F_A|\mu M_K < 0.5$ |
| KEK Experiment                | $|F_V + F_A|\mu M_K <0.23$ | $-2.5 < |F_V - F_A|\mu M_K <0.3$ |
| ITEP PS Experiment            | $-1.2 < |F_V + F_A|\mu M_K <1.1$ | $-2.2 < |F_V - F_A|\mu M_K <0.6$ |
| BNL 787 Experiment            | $|F_V + F_A|\mu M_K$  
$= 0.165 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.011$ | Not measured |

Table 1: Theoretical Predictions and Experimental status

The Cern PS experiment $K^- \rightarrow e\nu\gamma$ was performed utilizing a stopped K- separated beam in a counter experiment, with a lead-glass detector for the $\gamma$ [20]. They had $51 \pm 3$ events to determine $SD^+$ and $9$ events to determine limits on $SD^-$. The KEK experiment $K^- \rightarrow \mu\nu\gamma$ used a High Resolution Spectrometer with a NaI(Tl) $\gamma$ detector and a stopped K- separated beam [17]. In the SD$^+$ region 3.44 events were obtained after fit, while in the SD$^-+\text{INT}^-$ region, 142 events were measured. The ITEP-PS experiment $K^- \rightarrow \mu^-\nu\gamma$, used a 700-liter Xenon Bubble Chamber and stopped Kaon from a separated beam, with 442 $K^- \rightarrow \nu\mu\gamma$ candidates [21]. E761 attempted to measure $|F_V - F_A|M_K$, as described in E761 reports [18, 24]. The most recent value of $|F_V + F_A|M_K$ was measured with good precision using 2693 $K^- \rightarrow \mu^-\nu\gamma$ obtained at BNL with stopped kaons. Their result
confirms the $K^+ \rightarrow e^- \nu \gamma$ experimental value, (and universality), and they both confirm the predictions from Chiral theory [12, 13, 14]. They were also able to measure for the first time the Branching ratio $\text{BR}(\text{SD}^+)$ of the order of $10^{-5}$ with a precision of the order of 20% [22, 23]. CKM will be sensitive to the $\text{SD}^-$ term, and should be able to get a precise measurement, including the sign of $(F_V - F_A) M_K$.

To summarize the present situation, a value was obtained from previous CERN and BNL data for $| F_V + F_A | M_K \approx 0.153 - 0.165$. For $| F_V - F_A | M_K$, the best limits from the different experiments are in the range $[-2.2, +0.3]$ [17, 21].

IV) E761 Studies

The 375 GeV/c Hyperon unseparated beam with 5% K$^-$ and the E761 High Resolution Spectrometer in the cascade setup were used. The background to the $K^+ \rightarrow \nu \mu \gamma$ channel comes from K decays with $\pi^0$. These decays have larger branching ratio than the $\gamma$ channel, with $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ faking the $\nu \gamma$, e.g.

$$K^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \quad BR = (21.17 \pm 0.05)\%$$
$$K^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \quad BR = (1.73 \pm 0.04)\%$$
$$K^- \rightarrow \mu \nu \pi^0 \quad BR = (3.18 \pm 0.08)\%$$
$$K^- \rightarrow e\nu \pi^0 \quad BR = (0.55 \pm 0.028)\%.$$

Backgrounds which come from the other Hyperons in the beam; (e.g., the decays $\Sigma^- \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^0, \Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-)$ were removed by the analysis cuts. These cuts also removed part of the background coming from competing $K^-$ decays, e.g. $K^- \rightarrow e^- \nu \pi^0$ decay [24]. Other sources of background were the interactions of the beam in detector materials.

An E761 analysis [24] considered a partial sample of 2838 events. Taking into account the energy measured in the PbG detector and the position of the highest energy cluster, the components of the photon momentum were computed. The momentum resolution of the lead glass detector was ($\sigma P_\gamma / P_\gamma = 0.33 + 0.3276 / \sqrt{E_\gamma}$) and the angle precision was $\sigma \theta_\gamma = 0.66$ mrad. The missing mass square to the neutrino was then computed, using the measured momentum of the incoming and charged outgoing particles, and also
the momentum of the γ computed from the information in the PbG detector. The momentum resolutions of the incoming and the charged outgoing particle are better than for the γ and are reported in the table in the next paragraph. The particles are not identified.

Figure 3 Fig. 3 shows the square of the missing-mass, where the peak is rather wide due to the poor resolution in mass which comes from the poor resolution in the momenta used to calculate it.

![Graph](image)

Figure 3: Side-bands background substraction in the square of the missin+ mass MM2(K-μγ)

In Figure 3 Fig. 3, one can see 2838 events. Considering a side-band background substraction, this can be separated into \( \approx 190 \pm 29 \) events located in a peak at 0 GeV, above a background of 429 ± 15 events.
The above summary shows that the signal to background ratio in E761 was not good enough to get quality data on the Kaon radiative decay. This is so because of the absence of $\mu$ identification, because of the limited $\gamma$ detector resolution, and because the background from decays and interactions were very high compared to the signal.

V) Kaon radiative decay with CKM

1) We expect a 30 MHZ Kaon separated beam at the main injector. This will lead to: $K^+$ Decay 6MHZ

$BR(K\mu\nu\gamma)$ $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$

Acceptance $1 \cdot 10^{-2}$

$\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$

300 HZ

$10^5$ sec/week

$\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$

30 Million events/week

Here we define 1 week = 100 beam-hours * 1000 sec/hour. Therefore, in one week, we expect the number of $K^- \rightarrow \nu\mu\gamma$ decays to be higher than all previous experiments together.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E761 DATA</th>
<th>CKM MC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma P_K/P_K$</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma P_\mu/P_\mu$</td>
<td>1.70%</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma P_\gamma/P_\gamma$</td>
<td>.033+0.3276/$\sqrt{E_\gamma}$</td>
<td>0.01/$\sqrt{E_\gamma}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma \theta_\mu$</td>
<td>28 $\mu$rad</td>
<td>124 $\mu$rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma \theta_\gamma$</td>
<td>1.52 mrad-3.34$P_\gamma/P_K$</td>
<td>0.66 mrad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Resolution Comparison
Figure 4: The CKM spectrometer

One is a RICH detector ten meters long at the threshold of the 22 GeV kaon beam; containing CF4 at 0.7 atm pressure. The second RICH is twenty meters long at the pion threshold; containing Neon at 1 atmosphere. Both RICH detectors are separated by a vacuum decay volume 30 meters long and covered by a $\gamma$ veto layer. A PbG stack at the rear of the second RICH is an additional veto to clean the background. The PbG stack is followed by a $\mu$ range stack and a bending magnet to tilt the beam to the beam dump. An additional PbG stack located eighty meters from the entrance of the first RICH covers the central hole.

We have simulated the CKM system with a CsI $\gamma$ detector of the KTEV variety added at the rear of the pion RICH and before the PbG veto stack, at about sixty meters from the entrance of the Kaon RICH. This $\gamma$ detector
will be 15 cm wide in the y direction and 70 cm wide in the x direction, and shifted by 10 cm from the center of the beam. Each of the 75 blocks of CsI will be 4x4 cm$^2$, as may be seen in Figure 4. In the CsI detector, a nitrogen laser similar to that used by KTEV is needed to calibrate the digital readout system [26]. This detector system also allows kaon identification in the Kaon RICH, as well as muon identification using the pion RICH and the μ range stack. Such information were not available in E761. Together with the photon veto, the PbG stack, and the better resolution photon detector, CKM will achieve both a better identification of the events of interest and a better background rejection.

We compare the expected photon resolution of the CsI detector to the photon resolution achieved in E761. Those resolutions are obtained using the EXP Monte Carlo. The comparison is made with E761 data. Most of the comparative resolutions are reported below in Table 2.

3) We achieved with the E761 PbG detectors the following resolutions across the Dalitz plot:

$$\sigma_x \approx 0.3 \ E761 \ ; \ \sigma_x \approx 0.015 \ CKM$$
$$\sigma_y \approx 0.05 \ E761 \ ; \ \sigma_y \approx 0.003 \ CKM$$

The acceptance reached using the EXP $^1$ is of the order of 1% as used in the evaluation of the number of events one obtains in a week of CKM run. A plot of the Acceptance per bin across the Dalitz plot is represented in Figure 5.

In that figure, the bin size is twice the resolution in x and y respectively (a 2σ margin was allowed). We generated 100,000 $K^- \to \nu \mu \gamma$ decays with EXP-MC. In Fig. 5, we show the percentage Acceptance across the Dalitz plot.

**VI) Conclusion**

In the Main injector, a week of CKM running will provide more $K^- \to \nu \mu \gamma$ than all previous experiments together. The background will be minimized,

---

$^1$EXP is a fast Monte-Carlo written by P.S.Coopr which had had many lifes starting with a BNL/FNAL experiment and through e761 and e781 went over a new transformation for CKM
Figure 5: Percentage Acceptance accross the Dalitz plot, a total of 1623 + out of 100000 events were seen

considering the vacuum in the decay volume, the photon veto, and the PbG stacks. The events will be better identified, having both kaon and muon identification. The signal will be better measured for all the particles, especially the photons.

Acknowledgements

Special thanks are due to G. Burdmann, C. Quigg, for interesting discussions. Thanks are due to S. Kananov and A. Ocherashvili for help with the GEANT simulations of the E761 studies. This work was supported in part by the U.S.
Israel Binational Science Foundation, (BSF), Jerusalem, Israel; and in part by A. Zaks to whom one of us is particularly thankful.

List of Tables

1  Theoretical Predictions and Experimental status       6
2  Resolution Comparison                               9

List of Figures

1  The Dalitz plot for each of the terms contributing to the $K^\pm \to \mu \nu \gamma$ decay       3
2  Feynman diagrams of the IB terms and the triangular diagrams of SD terms                      4
3  Side-bands background subtraction in the square of the missing mass MM2(K-$\mu\gamma$)           8
4  The CKM spectrometer                               10
5  Percentage Acceptance across the Dalitz plot, a total of 1623 + out of 100000 events were seen                                  12

References